IMPORTANCE  Studies have established the importance of physical activity and fitness, yet limited data exist on the associations between objective, real-world physical activity patterns, fitness, sleep, and cardiovascular health.

OBJECTIVES  To assess the feasibility of obtaining measures of physical activity, fitness, and sleep from smartphones and to gain insights into activity patterns associated with life satisfaction and self-reported disease.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS  The MyHeart Counts smartphone app was made available in March 2015, and prospective participants downloaded the free app between March and October 2015. In this smartphone-based study of cardiovascular health, participants recorded physical activity, filled out health questionnaires, and completed a 6-minute walk test. The app was available to download within the United States.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES  The feasibility of consent and data collection entirely on a smartphone, the use of machine learning to cluster participants, and the associations between activity patterns, life satisfaction, and self-reported disease.

RESULTS  From the launch to the time of the data freeze for this study (March to October 2015), the number of individuals (self-selected) who consented to participate was 48,968, representing all 50 states and the District of Columbia. Their median age was 36 years (interquartile range, 27-50 years), and 82.2% (30,338 male, 6,556 female, 10 other, and 3,115 unknown) were male. In total, 40,017 (81.7%) of those who consented uploaded data. Among those who consented, 20,345 individuals (41.5%) completed 4 of the 7 days of motion data collection, and 4,552 individuals (9.3%) completed all 7 days. Among those who consented, 40,017 (81.7%) filled out some portion of the questionnaires, and 4,990 (10.2%) completed the 6-minute walk test, made available only at the end of 7 days. The Heart Age Questionnaire, also available after 7 days, required entering lipid values and age 40 to 79 years (among 17,245 individuals, 43.1% of participants). Consequently, 1,334 (2.7%) of those who consented completed all fields needed to compute heart age and a 10-year risk score. Physical activity was detected for a mean (SD) of 14.5% (8.0%) of individuals' total recorded time. Physical activity patterns were identified by cluster analysis. A pattern of lower overall activity but more frequent transitions between active and inactive states was associated with equivalent self-reported cardiovascular disease as a pattern of higher overall activity with fewer transitions. Individuals' perception of their activity and risk bore little relation to sensor-estimated activity or calculated cardiovascular risk.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE  A smartphone-based study of cardiovascular health is feasible, and improvements in participant diversity and engagement will maximize yield from consented participants. Large-scale, real-world assessment of physical activity, fitness, and sleep using mobile devices may be a useful addition to future population health studies.
Investigators have established the importance of physical activity, fitness, sleep, and diet in the maintenance of cardiovascular health. Low fitness is a key risk factor, while insufficient physical activity accounts for 5.3 million deaths per year and approximately 6% of the burden of coronary heart disease. Decr"ems in sleep quality through sleep fragmentation and obstructive sleep apnea also affect overall mortality.

Most of these observations, particularly with respect to activity, have been achieved through individual efforts of research coordinators and have required in-person consent, interviews, exercise or sleep studies, and follow-up. Such methods rely on accurate post hoc participant recall. Survey-based physical activity estimation has been shown to systematically overestimate measured activity.

Mobile technology, in particular advances in smartphone sensors, offers a new approach to the study of cardiovascular health and fitness. Direct measurement of activity through always-on, low-power motion chips provides a promising alternative to questionnaire-based approaches, as recognized by large-scale projects, such as the United Kingdom Biobank and the US Precision Medicine Initiative. Widespread ownership of smartphones worldwide could thus transform global clinical research.

In 2015, Apple Inc (Cupertino, California) introduced an open-source framework (ResearchKit) to facilitate clinical research and standardization of data collection. Herein, we report the first findings from MyHeart Counts, one of the launch smartphone apps for the framework. MyHeart Counts is a cardiovascular health study administered entirely via smartphone, incorporating direct sensor-based measurements of physical activity and fitness, as well as questionnaire assessment of sleep, lifestyle factors, risk perception, and overall well-being.

Our objectives in this study were 2-fold. The first objective was to establish the feasibility of mobile consent and real-time gathering of sensor and survey data from a large ambulatory population. The second objective was to investigate the associations between patterns of physical activity, fitness, and self-reported well-being or medical history.

Methods

Data Acquisition

This study was approved by the Stanford University Institutional Review Board. The MyHeart Counts smartphone app was made available in March 2015, and prospective participants downloaded the free app from the Apple Inc app store between March and October 2015. The written informed consent process was developed specifically for the smartphone platform and incorporates unambiguous language in a “card” format optimized for reading and understanding on a telephone (eFigure 1 and eFigure 2 in the Supplement). After consent, a secondary screen seeks specific permission for sharing of each category of telephone data with researchers. At any time, the participant can withdraw a specific category of data or his or her entire participation directly from the telephone.

Consented participants were able to contribute data to a range of study components, including health surveys on diet, well-being, risk perception, work-related and leisure-time physical activity, sleep, and cardiovascular health (Figure 1 and eFigures 3, 4, and 5 in the Supplement). Participants also self-reported demographic information, such as age, sex, and race/ethnicity. For reporting of race/ethnicity, they were given the opportunity to select multiple options (defined by the investigators) or none at all. During the initial 7-day monitoring period, the participant’s motion was recorded through the motion coprocessor chip of the telephone. The low-power motion chip integrates signals, including triaxial accelerometer, gyroscope, compass, and barometer, to estimate distance, as well as the presence and modality of movement, such as stationary, walking, running, cycling, or driving. On day 7, participants were requested to complete a self-administered 6-minute walk test that uses global positioning system-calibrated pedometer functionality built into the motion coprocessor chip. Reminders to complete surveys occur on a daily basis during the initial 7-day monitoring period.

Statistical Analysis

K-means and hierarchical clustering were applied to define groups with cohesive patterns of physical activity from the motion tracking data. Features for clustering included percentage of time spent stationary, percentage of time spent active, number of state changes between active and stationary, and the fraction of time spent on each activity (stationary, walking, running, cycling, driving, or unknown) (Figure 2A and eFigure 1A and eFigure 6 in the Supplement). Categorical comparison among multiple groups was performed using the χ² test. We tested for associations with life satisfaction using linear regression models with age and sex included as covariates. For the self-reported presence of disease, we tested the association using logistic models with age and sex as covariates. For both outcomes, stepwise selection of significant univariate associations was performed to build a multivariable model. When analyzing geographic differences in life satisfaction and activity, we developed a mixed-effects model with 3-digit zip code prefix modeled as a random effect and US census region modeled as a fixed effect. Detailed information on the statistical analysis and study findings is available in the eMethods and eResults in the Supplement.
Results

Participation and Demographics

From the launch to the time of the data freeze for this study (March to October 2015), the number of individuals who consented to participate was 48,968 (Figure 1 and eTable 1 in the Supplement). Participants were predominantly male (82.2% [30,338 male, 6,556 female, 10 other, and 3,115 unknown]), with a median age of 36 years (interquartile range, 27-50 years). Participants were from all 50 states and the District of Columbia, with the most participants from California (n = 4,423) and the fewest participants from North Dakota (n = 35). Of 23,351 respondents, 6,987 reported having a disease, while 3,185...
A, Based on proportion of time participants’ smartphones indicated they were stationary during 2 weekdays and 2 weekend days. Two dimensions of clustering are illustrated for clarity from the original 4. In total, 20,345 individuals were included in the analysis. B, Chest pain ($P < .001$, $n = 17,062$, $\chi^2 = 34.16$, and Cramer $V = 0.0149$), type 2 diabetes ($P < .001$, $n = 17,062$, $\chi^2 = 23.07$, and Cramer $V = 0.0222$), heart disease ($P < .001$, $n = 17,062$, $\chi^2 = 22.68$, and Cramer $V = 0.0212$), and joint pain ($P = 3.42e-2$, $n = 17,062$, $\chi^2 = 34.16$, and Cramer $V = 0.0149$). In total, 17,062 individuals were included in the analysis. C, On a scale of 1 to 10, $P < .001$ and mean effect size of 0.383 points between individuals in recorded physical activity clusters (active, weekend warriors, inactive, or drivers). Each white circle in C indicates the mean of the corresponding box plot. Analysis of variance tests were performed to check for significant associations of cluster membership with likelihood of having a particular health condition. Footnotes a, b, and c over a pair of bars indicate a significant difference between that pair of clusters and likelihood of the measured health condition. 

A (of 22,457 respondents) reported taking medication (Table 1). Participation dropped markedly during the initial 7-day monitoring period, and data for some measures are contributed only from several thousand individuals.

**Quantity of Physical Activity**

Among those who consented, 20,345 individuals (41.5%) completed 4 of the 7 days of motion data collection, and 4,552 individuals (9.3%) completed all 7 days. Of the 20,345 individuals whose devices recorded physical activity, 13,896 (68.3%) were estimated by their smartphones to be stationary for more than 50% of the time for which data were recorded, spending a mean (SD) of 14.5% (8.0%) of their time active (10.9% of time walking and 3.5% of time on vigorous activity, such as running) (Table 2). On average, smartphones of male participants reported 3.8% more time active than smartphones of female participants ($P < .001$). A linear regression of sensor-measured active time onto age yields $P = .58$ (adjusted $R^2 < .001$). The linear regression of self-reported active time onto age yields $P < .001$, with a coefficient of interaction between age and activity equal to $-0.49$ (30 seconds). This result indicates no strong associations between active time and age.

**Patterns of Physical Activity**

K-means clusters of physical activity data are shown in Figure 2A. Clusters of activity levels were significantly correlated with self-reported cardiovascular health status, as determined by a $\chi^2$ test for the presence or absence of chest pain, type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and joint pain (Figure 2B and eTable 2 in the Supplement). Individuals in the least active cluster were found to have an elevated risk for all conditions listed above, with $\chi^2$ standardized residuals ranging from 2.5 for hypertension to 6.3 for heart disease. Conversely, individuals in the “weekend warriors” cluster were at a significantly lower risk (standardized residuals below -2) for chest pain, diabetes, heart disease, and joint pain (Figure 2B and eTable 2 in the Supplement). Weekend warriors were defined as individuals who were more active during the weekend than during the weekdays. These individuals (Figure 2A) spent approximately 25% more time in the “active” state during the weekend.

The second analysis focused on the number of state changes from stationary to active and vice versa (eFigure 7 in the Supplement). Cluster analysis suggested that, although state changers were less active overall than weekend warriors, they experienced similarly better cardiovascular health status compared with those in inactive clusters.

**Fitness**

In total, 4,990 individuals (10.2% of consented participants) completed the 6-minute walk test, made available only at the end of 7 days, with a mean (SD) step count of 693 (127) steps and a mean (SD) distance walked of 455 (520) m (Table 1). Participants who completed the 6-minute walk test were slightly older than the general study population (median age, 42 years and mean age, 43.2 years) and had a higher ratio of men to women than the study population.
Sensor recordings indicated that the 6-minute walk test cohort was active during a mean (SD) of 15.1% (7.1%) of their total recorded time compared with a mean (SD) of 14.5% (8.0%) for the full cohort.

Sleep
Each participant self-reported the number of hours slept each night (Table 2). Overall, 34,048 participants (69.5% of those consented) reported a mean of 7.8 hours of sleep per night. Female respondents to the sleep survey (n = 58,27) reported a mean of 0.3 hours more sleep than male respondents (n = 25,871) (P < .001).

We derived daily bedtimes for each participant based on the last time of movement recorded by the motion chip. We then compared the distributions of self-reported life satisfaction ratings (on a scale of 1-10) for participants with the earliest bedtimes (earliest tertile) with those for participants with the latest bedtimes (latest tertile) using the median bedtimes for each participant (among 14,895 patients, 30.4% of those consented). Individuals with 2 or fewer bedtimes recorded or outliers (bedtimes before 7:30 PM or after 3:30 AM) were excluded. Participants who retired the earliest in the evening reported an overall higher life satisfaction rating (mean, 7.48) than participants who stayed awake the latest (mean, 6.80) (P < .001) (Figure 3B). Individuals who retired the earliest tended to be older (median, 44 years) than those who retired the latest (median, 33 years old). A linear model adjusted for age and sex (n = 14,179) found the median bedtime in hours to be a significant univariate predictor of life satisfaction (β = −0.16; 95% CI, −0.18 to −0.14; P < .001).

Models of Life Satisfaction and Self-reported Disease
In addition to associations with health conditions, activity levels were also found to correlate with participants’ life satisfaction (P < .001) (Figure 2C). Individuals in the inactive cluster reported the lowest life satisfaction (mean, 6.82), while for each participant (among 14,895 patients, 30.4% of those consented). Individuals with 2 or fewer bedtimes recorded or outliers (bedtimes before 7:30 PM or after 3:30 AM) were excluded. Participants who retired the earliest in the evening reported an overall higher life satisfaction rating (mean, 7.48) than participants who stayed awake the latest (mean, 6.80) (P < .001) (Figure 3B). Individuals who retired the earliest tended to be older (median, 44 years) than those who retired the latest (median, 33 years old). A linear model adjusted for age and sex (n = 14,179) found the median bedtime in hours to be a significant univariate predictor of life satisfaction (β = −0.16; 95% CI, −0.18 to −0.14; P < .001).

Table 1. Participant Cardiovascular Health Diagnoses and Family Historya

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>No. of Participants</th>
<th>% Of Responders</th>
<th>% Of All Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Family History</td>
<td>(n = 21,634)</td>
<td>(n = 40,017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father or brother with heart attack or coronary artery disease before age 55 y</td>
<td>3,890</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother or sister with heart attack or coronary artery disease before age 65 y</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>16,144</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>18,383</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications</td>
<td>(n = 23,351)</td>
<td>(n = 40,017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To treat and lower cholesterol</td>
<td>2,904</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To treat hypertension and lower blood pressure</td>
<td>3,385</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To treat diabetes or prediabetes and lower blood glucose level</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>16,364</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>16,666</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Disease</td>
<td>(n = 22,457)</td>
<td>(n = 40,017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart attack or myocardial infarction</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart bypass surgery</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary blockage or stenosis</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary stent or angioplasty</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina, heart chest pains</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High coronary calcium score</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure or congestive heart failure</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart defect</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>19,272</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>17,560</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular Disease</td>
<td>(n = 21,467)</td>
<td>(n = 40,017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient ischemic attack</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid artery blockage or stenosis</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid artery surgery or stent</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease, blockage or stenosis, surgery, or stent</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal aortic aneurysm</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>20,269</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>18,550</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: NA, not applicable.

In total, 20,323 participants provided responses to medical history questions.
individuals in the most active cluster reported the highest life satisfaction (mean, 7.48). Drivers and weekend warriors reported mean life satisfaction values of 7.14 and 7.36, respectively.

We tested the association of life satisfaction and self-reported disease status in our population with dietary, lifestyle, and other factors. Overall life satisfaction scores clustered around a mean of 7.12. Because many lifestyle predictors are correlated, we derived a multivariable linear model using stepwise selection on all significant univariate predictors, including age and sex as covariates. We found that fruit consumption, sugary drink intake, recorded activity, and minutes of self-reported vigorous activity remained significant predictors to derive a multivariable logistic regression model (with age and sex as covariates) that showed a significant association between the perceived and reported levels was negligibly small ($R^2 < .001$).

Perceived Activity and Actual Activity
At baseline, participants were asked to rate how active they were on a scale of 1 to 6 on the Leisure-Time Activity Survey (eFigure 4A in the Supplement). On the Moderate or Vigorous Physical Activity Questionnaire, participants were also asked to report the number of minutes of moderate and vigorous physical activity that they performed in a week. These values were compared with the total time participants spent in the walking, running, and cycling states, as determined by the motion tracker data. Despite the large number of participants in the study, we observed a significant association between the perceived and reported activity levels ($P < .001$), but the correlation between the perceived and reported levels was negligibly small ($R^2 < .001$).

Perceived Risk and Actual Risk
A participant’s 10-year risk and lifetime risk of stroke and myocardial infarction were calculated according to the 2013 American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease guidelines. Predicted risk calculations were compared with individuals’ self-reported perceptions of risk (eFigure 4B and eFigure 8 in the Supplement). A Pearson product moment correlation ($R^2$) of 0.18 was observed between individuals’ perceived 10-year risk and the calculated 10-year risk.

Geographic Diversity
We analyzed the pattern of behavior across the United States (Figure 3A) with a mixed-effects model containing 3-digit zip code prefix as a random effect and US census region as a fixed effect. Using analysis of variance, we found significant differences between US census regions in the measured activity levels ($n = 14,406$) ($P < .001$) and the reported life satisfaction ($n = 14,391$) ($P = .001$). The West had the highest mean activity proportion, while the Midwest, South, and Northeast had lower recorded activity levels (eTable 4 in the Supplement). Based on 16 hours of nonsleeping time a day, individuals in the West had on average an additional hour of physical activity each week compared with individuals in the Northeast. The West also had the highest life satisfaction, and the Northeast had the lowest life satisfaction. The 0.2 difference in life satisfaction is equivalent to 15% of the entire range (6.9–8.2) seen between developed countries in previous results.

Table 2. Exercise Activity, Time Active, and Sleep Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic</th>
<th>Self-reported Activity per Week, min (n = 31,749)</th>
<th>Sensor Measured</th>
<th>6-min Walk Test Step Count (n = 4919)</th>
<th>6-min Walk Test Distance, m (n = 1268)</th>
<th>Self-reported Sleep per Night (n = 34,048)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>207 (227)</td>
<td>14.5 (6.9)/10.9 (5.4)/3.3 (2.9)</td>
<td>969 (460)/731 (359)/218 (193)</td>
<td>693 (127)</td>
<td>455 (520)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 30,338)</td>
<td>213 (231)</td>
<td>14.8 (6.9)/11.2 (5.4)/3.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>990 (460)/753 (360)/236 (199)</td>
<td>695 (120)</td>
<td>453 (521)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n = 65,56)</td>
<td>184 (205)</td>
<td>11.0 (6.2)/9.2 (5.1)/1.9 (2.1)</td>
<td>737 (412)/613 (338)/124 (138)</td>
<td>688 (148)</td>
<td>481 (521)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 (n = 12,181)</td>
<td>212 (240)</td>
<td>13.1 (17.5)/11.0 (5.4)/3.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>871 (1139)/738 (361)/201 (183)</td>
<td>682 (125)</td>
<td>427 (497)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-39 (n = 9,024)</td>
<td>203 (222)</td>
<td>14.7 (19.5)/11.0 (5.4)/3.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>985 (1307)/737 (359)/227 (187)</td>
<td>684 (137)</td>
<td>440 (517)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-49 (n = 6,328)</td>
<td>197 (210)</td>
<td>15.1 (20.4)/10.7 (5.4)/3.4 (3.1)</td>
<td>1005 (1367)/716 (359)/224 (205)</td>
<td>701 (121)</td>
<td>464 (538)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-59 (n = 7,068)</td>
<td>206 (219)</td>
<td>13.3 (18.9)/11.2 (5.3)/3.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>891 (1266)/752 (357)/236 (198)</td>
<td>703 (114)</td>
<td>448 (505)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69 (n = 1,684)</td>
<td>229 (249)</td>
<td>20.4 (25.5)/9.9 (5.5)/3.4 (3.4)</td>
<td>1367 (1709)/664 (366)/224 (229)</td>
<td>716 (110)</td>
<td>494 (549)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 (n = 519)</td>
<td>233 (215)</td>
<td>27.3 (29.4)/9.3 (5.0)/3.8 (4.1)</td>
<td>1829 (1970)/620 (336)/251 (272)</td>
<td>677 (121)</td>
<td>558 (548)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Exercise activity and sleep information was collected through questionnaires ($n = 54,282$), and time active was collected via motion tracker ($n = 20,345$). In total, 49,900 individuals participated in the 6-min walk test.
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risk (Figure 3C). The Heart Age Questionnaire, available only after 7 days, required entering lipid values and age 40 to 79 years (among 17245 individuals, 43.1% of participants). Of the 1334 participants who completed all questions on the Heart Age Questionnaire, necessary to compute heart age and a 10-year risk score, 512 underestimated their 10-year risk (mean difference, 6.0%), while 817 overestimated their 10-year risk (mean difference, 1.2%). The remaining 5 individuals had predictions close to the actual value. Similarly, participants did poorly at predicting their lifetime risk: a Pearson product moment correlation of 0.09 was observed between individuals’ perceived and calculated risk (Figure 3D). In total, 457 participants overestimated their lifetime risk by a mean of 12.7%, while 501 participants underestimated their lifetime risk by a mean of 12.0%, indicating that individuals predicted their personal risk with low accuracy.

**Discussion**

Seminal investigations established the importance of physical activity, fitness, sleep, and diet for cardiovascular health. Such studies were completed with time-consuming, in-person measurements with substantial reliance on participant recall. Mobile technology allows an alternative approach to such studies, with major challenges and opportunities. Large-scale data afford approaches to analysis and insights that are not available from smaller-scale data. Herein, we used an unsupervised clustering approach to define categories of individuals by their physical activity patterns. Such approaches allow the data, rather than prior assumptions about the structure, to drive categorization. Despite decades of research, there is little certainty as to the optimal pattern.
of physical activity to recommend for health. Indeed, advice from national organizations has changed significantly over time. While causality requires randomization, we report herein correlational associations not just with overall activity but with a pattern of more frequent transition from inactive to active states. For example, our result that participants who changed their activity state frequently tended to be healthier aligns with prior findings that link prolonged periods of uninterrupted sedentary time with increased risk for metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. Such observations support the randomized assessment of interventions aimed at augmenting activity state transitions during daily living.

A major advantage of a smartphone-based study is that most people carry the device with them, allowing not only passive registration of motion but also active assessment of changing psychological states, such as life satisfaction and happiness. A major disadvantage is the inherent ascertainment bias. While such bias exists in all studies (eg, among the individuals who choose to contact a study coordinator or in the inclusion and exclusion criteria for a clinical trial), it is important to minimize this bias as much as possible. Of particular note, the bar for entry to this study was much lower than that for equivalent studies performed using in-person visits. This lowering has the demonstrated advantage that many people consented but has the notable disadvantage that those individuals are by definition less invested in the study and thus less likely to complete all portions. For some data points in this study, we have data for only several thousand individuals, while almost 50,000 consented. We believe that the low bar in fact represents an opportunity to engage this larger group who are interested enough to download the app and answer a few questions but not much more. Balancing engagement, data feedback, and study design remain areas for further research.

We delayed the 6-minute walk test and heart age assessment until completion of all other portions of the study to minimize bias from this information, but that certainly contributed to the drop in participation in these tasks. An easy method to link lipid values directly from one’s electronic health record would help. However, even in the Practice Innovation and Clinical Excellence (PINNACLE) electronic health record-based cardiovascular registry, data to calculate the 10-year risk score were available in less than 30% of patients. Future versions of MyHeart Counts will introduce more personalization and earlier participant feedback. Elements of gamification, exemplified by Pokémon Go, could also be introduced to maximize engagement.

We found a significant disconnect between an individual’s perceived cardiovascular risk and his or her actual risk derived from the 2013 atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease pooled cohort equations. These findings are in line with those reported by Mazalin Protulipac et al, who concluded that the actual presence of cardiovascular disease risk factors in participants did not appear to alter their perception of risk compared with participants without cardiovascular disease risk factors. Similarly, Ko and Boo found that, among cardiovascular risk factors, dyslipidemia, obesity, smoking, and family history of cardiovascular disease did not affect self-perceived health. Imes and Lewis observed that, even when individuals are aware of their cardiovascular disease risk, the association between health-related behavior change and perceived risk was inconsistent. For example, our results illustrate that self-reported minutes of moderate or vigorous physical activity and movement recorded by the smartphone do not agree, which suggests that participants were poor at predicting their levels of physical activity. Such a disconnect between perceived and actual levels of physical activity and cardiovascular risk highlights the potential usefulness of smartphones as personalized informational tools to optimize healthy lifestyles. The MyHeart Counts app provides the user with feedback in the form of a heart age relative to ideal cardiovascular health status, an approach to personalizing and making more visceral the understanding of risk. In addition, we include feedback in the form of a plot showing where each individual falls in relation to the overall study distribution for the 6-minute walk test distance. The natural extension of such findings is toward tailored physical activity and lifestyle recommendations, and indeed future versions of the app will introduce randomized studies of motivational strategies for improving activity, diet, and cardiovascular health measures.

Limitations
Our study has several important additional limitations. The demographics of the enrolled population reflect those of typical smartphone users. For example, young male individuals are heavily overrepresented. We are testing engagement strategies that target other populations. Some individuals do not carry their smartphones with them at all times; therefore, physical activity measurements are a lower bound for actual physical activity. While daily questions were used to try to capture activity lost in this way, a stronger approach comes in the form of increasing users’ adoption of wearable technology. Furthermore, the motion trackers cannot distinguish the cause of periods of lack of motion. In addition, it is likely that (as in most studies of physical activity) participants may have been more active than usual during the first weeks of the study. Consequently, in a follow-up study, we will track individuals for multiple weeks to quantify the effect of different types of coaching strategies on modification of participant behavior. Validation of 6-minute walk test step counts reported by the smartphone suggests that the step count algorithm needs improvement to achieve sufficient accuracy for clinical use. Finally, the 2013 American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk calculator has limitations. Specifically, the 10-year risk score was implemented for age 40 to 79 years and does not fully account for biogeographic ancestry and lifestyle factors.

Conclusions
Our study found 5 main results. First, we demonstrate the feasibility of consenting and engaging a large population across the United States using only smartphones. Second, we show that large-scale data can be gathered in real time
from mobile devices, stored securely, transferred, deidenti-
fied, and shared securely, including with participants. Third,
we find that a data set for the 6-minute walk test larger than
any previously collected could be generated in weeks.
Fourth, we report that state transition patterns of activity,
not just absolute activity, relate to the reported presence of
disease. Fifth, we conclude that there is a poor association
between perceived and recorded physical activity, as well as
perceived and formally estimated risk. Most important,
we also present the major challenges and limitations of mobile
health research, including the skewed age and sex of partici-
pants, plus the rapid drop-off in engagement over time, with
the resulting loss of data collection for several measures. To
realize the promise of this novel approach to population
health research, participant engagement needs to be opti-
mized to maximize full participation of those who have
expressed at least enough interest to download the app and
consent to join the study.
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Given substantial evidence that healthy lifestyle behaviors lessen the odds of cardiovascular disease, a guideline from the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology advises physicians to foster patients’ physical activity. But how is the clinician to evaluate a patient’s healthy lifestyle behaviors, let alone enhance them? Traditionally, patient self-reports supplied almost all behavioral data available to health professionals. However, whether given by free recall, structured questionnaire, or written logs, post hoc surveys inherently manifest forms of error well known to behavioral scientists. People forget. Many have no idea what moderate to vigorous activity feels like. Individuals also experience demands and motivations that distort what they report.

For a long while, not much could be done to increase confidence in the validity of behavioral assessments. Although one could observe peoples’ behavior objectively in controlled laboratory conditions or experimental tasks, legitimate questions arose about whether individuals would behave the same way in real life as they had in the laboratory. This state of affairs began to change in the 1980s, when accelerations signals from sensors were first used to measure physical activity.2

Fast forward to the present, and sensors are everywhere, including the tiny accelerometer, gyroscope, ambient light detector, compass, and barometer inside smartphones. In this issue of JAMA Cardiology, McConnell and colleagues3 are to be congratulated for pioneering efforts to examine the physical activity, sleep, and fitness data from MyHeart Counts, a launch smartphone app developed by Apple Inc’s ResearchKit. The team’s first aim was to evaluate the feasibility of using a smartphone to consent a large representative sample of ambulatory adults and to gather real-time sensor and survey data from them. Their second aim was to analyze those data to gain insights about associations among physical activity, well-being, and physical health.

MyHeart Counts succeeded as a proof of concept, demonstrating the potential for personally owned mobile devices to accomplish real-world ambulatory assessment. McConnell and colleagues4 are to be congratulated for pioneering efforts to examine the physical activity, sleep, and fitness data from MyHeart Counts, a launch smartphone app developed by Apple Inc’s ResearchKit. The team’s first aim was to evaluate the feasibility of using a smartphone to consent a large representative sample of ambulatory adults and to gather real-time sensor and survey data from them. Their second aim was to analyze those data to gain insights about associations among physical activity, well-being, and physical health.

MyHeart Counts succeeded as a proof of concept, demonstrating the potential for personally owned mobile devices to accomplish real-world ambulatory assessment.